

FEB 22 2005

PTO/SB/97 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.Attorney Docket No. 53394.000564  
Application No. 10/051,190**Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8**I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile  
transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (703) 872-9306on February 22, 2005.  
Date  
Signature:David McKim  
Typed or printed name of person signing CertificateNote: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this  
certificate must identify each submitted paper.

1. Supplemental Reply to Election/Restriction Requirement (7 pages)
2. Certificate of Transmission (1 page)

**TOTAL PAGES: 8**Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any  
comments on the amount of time required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office,  
Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents,  
Washington, DC 20231.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In re Application of: )  
Bernadette M. GIBBS ) Examiner: Karin M. REICHLE  
Serial No.: 10/051,190 ) Group Art No.: 3761  
Filed: January 22, 2002 ) Confirmation No.: 9683

FEB 22 2005

For: ABSORBENT GARMENT TAB HAVING ELASTICITY ZONES

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENTIntroduction

This Supplemental Reply to the February 25, 2004 Restriction Requirement issued by the PTO is provided in response to a telephonic request from the Examiner received by Applicant's representative on February 22, 2005, wherein the Examiner alleged that the Applicant's original Reply of April 22, 2004 was *bona fide*, but not fully responsive for failing to include a claim listing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.121. In response, the Applicant is submitting this Supplemental Reply to the Restriction Requirement providing the requested claim listing.

- Listing of claims begins on page 2 of this paper.
- Remarks begins on page 7 of this paper.